



Rose Quarter Development SAC Request-Response Log

Version
3.16.10

No.	Date	SAC Member	Request/Question	Response	Done
1	9/15/09	Sandra McDonough	Overview of financial history	SAC #4 - Coliseum Current Conditions & Operations Report	X
2	9/15/09	Cameron Vaughan-Tyler	Historic overview	SAC #2 - Project Area History	X
3	9/15/09	Drew Mahalic	How have other cities treated secondary arenas?	SAC #4 - Coliseum Current Conditions & Operations Report; examples of Landmark building renovations	X
4	9/15/09	Will Macht	Understanding of how Coliseum has been used in the past - types of events and related income/expenses	SAC #4 - Coliseum Current Conditions & Operations Report	X
5	9/15/09	Clint Lundmark	Day of event neighborhood issues	Good Neighbor Agreement is a potential way to address issues. Not part of this project scope.	X
6	9/15/09	Wade Lange	Understand development constraints - I-5 and railroad	SAC Site Tour - October 2009; Staff will develop a single sheet of constraints	X
7	9/15/09	Lillian Karabic	Seek full potential for bike/ped connections, access and safety	SAC #3 - Development Vision & Principles, Coliseum Evaluation Criteria; SAC Summer 2010 - Development Strategy; PBOT will be included on Technical Advisory Team	X
8	9/15/09	Virginia Sewell	Information on how people in the region use the facility	SAC #4 - Coliseum Current Conditions & Operations Report; SAC Site Tour - October 2009	X
9	9/15/09	Regina Hauser	Consider how site fits into natural ecosystem - stormwater treatment and relationship to the river	SAC #3 - Development Vision & Principles, Coliseum Evaluation Criteria; SAC Summer 2010 - Development Strategy; BES will be included on Technical Advisory Team	X
10	9/15/09	Philip Kalberer	Transportation and infrastructure issues must be addressed in order to unite contiguous neighborhoods together	SAC #3 - Development Vision & Principles, Coliseum Evaluation Criteria; SAC Summer 2010 - Development Strategy; PBOT will be included on Technical Advisory Team	X
11	9/15/09	Paul Falsetto	How will landmark designation affect the project?	SAC #2 - Landmark Status Report	X
12	9/15/09	Daniel Deutsch	Project should help revitalize Broadway	SAC #2 - previous planning efforts and project context; SAC #3 - Development Vision & Principles; RQ Development Strategy (SAC Summer 2010) will consider how to knit knit Broadway revitalization into strategy	X
13	9/15/09	Rosemary Colliver	Pay attention to people from across the region who use the facility	Possible evaluation criterion	X
14	9/15/09	Andrew Colas	Information on previous African-American history on the site and how that affects future development.	SAC #2 - Project Area History	X
15	9/15/09	Samuel Brooks	How can minorities, women and small businesses be included to help grow the community?	SAC #3 - Development Vision and Evaluation Criteria; RQ Development Strategy - SAC Summer 2010	X
16	9/15/09	Tony Stacy	Materials used in building Coliseum and cost of upgrades	SAC #4 - Current Conditions & Operations Report	X
17	9/15/09	Marcus Mundy	SAC needs to be mindful of member demographics and consider how transit - dependent users needs differ from the non-transit dependent population.	SAC #1 - Member demographic profile; Need additional information on transit-dependent population using the area; TriMet will be included on the project Technical Advisory Team.	X



Rose Quarter Development SAC Request-Response Log

Version
3.16.10

18	9/15/09	Brian Owendoff	Sources of available funding and possibilities of leveraging current structures/resources to minimize capital investment needs.	SAC #4 - Coliseum Current Conditions & Operations Report	X
19	9/15/09	Steve Rawley	Increase opportunities for public recreation - involve Parks & Recreation	Parks and Recreation staff will be included on the Technical Advisory Team	X
20	9/15/09	Jim Peterson	Will the project draw from entire region or just within the city? Will it be family oriented or over 21?	Discussion points for SAC #3 and #4 - Development Vision and Evaluation Criteria; Intended to draw from a broad, regional audience. (Direction from the Mayor.)	X
21	9/15/09	Walter Valenta	Examples of how other stadiums fit into communities.	SAC #4 - Coliseum Current Conditions & Operations Report	X
22	9/15/09	Rudy Soto	Opportunity to acknowledge veterans	SAC #3 - Development Vision and Evaluation Criteria	X
23	9/15/09	Greg Phillips	Information on site dimensions. Requested tour	SAC Site Tour - October 2009	X
24	9/15/09	Alicia Rose	Outreach to the arts community to help with revitalization on the east side	Hold for RQ Development Strategy - SAC Summer 2010	X
25	9/15/09	Jules Renaud	Information on parking availability	SAC #4 - Coliseum Current Conditions & Operations Report	X
26	9/15/09	Joseph Readdy	Context: natural systems and history; Connections: ODOT, I-5, pike/ped; Capacity: value of Coliseum and land, gateway to Old Town/Chinatown and central city.	SAC #2 - previous planning efforts and project context; SAC #3 - Development Vision and Evaluation Criteria	X
27	9/29/09	Virginia Sewell	List of constraints to operations and development	Summarized in Baseline Conditions Report and Base Case scenario	X
28	9/29/09	Rick Michaelson	Need to think beyond the project area map boundary when planning the area	SAC Site Tour; SAC #3; project area boundary outlines the properties directly associated with RQ Development - the project will consider how RQ development can be integrated with adjacent neighborhoods, businesses and Broadway itself.	X
29	9/29/09	Dean Gisvold	Need to think beyond the project area map boundary when planning the area	Same as above	X
30	9/29/09	Sam Adams	Examples of renovation to Landmark buildings - local and world-wide	Low Bowers presented local examples at SAC 6	X
31	9/29/09	Walter Valenta	Identify what didn't work with past development efforts	The current RQ Development process is designed with those past lessons in mind. There is great emphasis on public outreach and conducting a fair, open development process.	X
32	9/29/09	Regina Hauser	Energy use baseline	SAC #4 - Coliseum Current Conditions & Operations Report, SAC #7 - Energy + Resource Consumption Baseline	X
33	9/29/09	Tony Stacy	Looking for a resolution that stated veterans can use the Coliseum at no cost	Tony has discovered that Don Jewel, who was acting Manager of the facility, was given a directive to work with the Gold Star Mothers and other Veterans groups when it came to useage of the building for events (total of 8 times a year). This was during Mayor Schrunck's administration, but has never been implemented to his knowledge.	X
34	10/13/09	Steve Rawley	Profit/Loss information	SAC #4 - Coliseum Current Conditions & Operations Report	X



Rose Quarter Development SAC Request-Response Log

Version
3.16.10

35	11/3/09	Will Macht	Income and expenses for the MC for the last 10 years; list of the events and attendance at each event during each of those years	Financial information provided as part of the MC Baseline report. Will requested further detail which was developed and presented by Dave Logsdon and Kevin Brake at SAC meeting 6. Some attendance and income details were considered proprietary information.	X
36	11/10/09	Regina Hauser	Measuring Sustainability: Assessment of building's environmental impacts - operations, architectural, structural etc; Hard numbers on reference to utilities being 40% of operating costs; Actual utility use - electrical, gas, oil or combination; Carbon footprint of the MC, water usage, stormwater volume; Waste and opportunities for waste recovery, diversion; Wind/solar potential; Percentage of people traveling by car (SOV), transit, bike, ped.	Coliseum baseline analysis consultants will scope for the incorporation of a baseline resource usage element into the study. Consultants have prepared an energy and resource consumption baseline	X
37	11/10/09	Wade Lange	Operations baseline – cost estimate to bring MC up to operational standard/level described in report	Staff to develop an MC base case report SAC #7 Base Case Prepared	X
38	11/10/09	Dean Grisvold	What is the balance in Spectator Fund?	Dave Logsdon question	X
39	11/10/09	Will Macht	What capital expenses are counted against net operating income (profit)? Why would capital costs be used at all?	Dave Logsdon question; staff research underway.	X
40	11/10/09	Will Macht	Would MC event parking revenues make the facility profitable?	Dave Logsdon question	X
41	11/10/09	Dean Grisvold	Is the only money available for MC beyond the \$5.4M?	Dave Logsdon question	X
42	11/10/09	Phil Kalberer	Public entity structure to make historic tax credits available – examples	John Tess described at SAC meeting 5	X
43	11/10/09	Rick Williams	Success factors from example arenas?	Abe Farkas presentation on baseline conditions at SAC meetings 4 & 5	X
44	1/12/10	Tony Stacy	Question regarding Coliseum operating agreement and whether it has been effectively amended by the City.	Response from Dave Logsdon: The OAC bankruptcy did not affect the City/OAC agreements. All agreements were assumed by the new owners, PAM, at the point they took control of the property.	X
45	1/12/10	Tony Stacy	Does it make sense for the SAC to have access to a list of city-owned equipment assets used in the Coliseum?	PAM has respopnsibility for inventory since taking over operations of MC in 1993. The SAC is not charged with addressing inventory issues. It is an operator responsibility to taxpayers but has no bearing on SAC decisions at this time.	X
46	2/23/10	Cameron Vaughan-Tyler	Requested information provided today by the final three concept submitters be sent to the SAC for more thorough review	Presentations posted online.	X
47	2/23/10	Sandra McDonough, Andrew Colas	Requested that concept teams respond to the questions submitted by the SAC as part of their online evaluation.	Project team will incorpoprate questions into proposal development.	X